

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

BEFORE THE
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
ILLINOIS BELL TELEPHONE)
COMPANY (SBC ILLINOIS), and)
NORLIGHT TELECOMMUNICATIONS,)
INC.) No. 04-0493
)
Joint Petition for Approval of)
Second Amendment dated July)
27, 2004, pursuant ot 47)
U.S.C. Section 252)

Chicago, Illinois
September 9th, 2004

Met, pursuant to notice, at 11:00 a.m.

BEFORE:

JOHN T. RILEY, Administrative Law Judge

APPEARANCES:

MR. JAMES A. HUTTENHOWER
225 West Randolph Street, Suite 25-D
Chicago, Illinois 60606
(312) 727-14444
for Illinois Bell and Norlight
Telecommunications;

1 APPEARANCES CONT'D:

2 MS. BRANDY D.B. BROWN
3 160 North LaSalle, Suite C-800
4 Chicago, Illinois 60601
5 (312) 793-2877
6 for Staff of the ICC.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

SULLIVAN REPORTING COMPANY, by
Amy M. Aust, CSR
License No. 084-004559

1 JUDGE RILEY: Pursuant to the direction of the
2 Illinois Commerce Commission, I now call Docket
3 04-0493, this is a joint petition between Illinois
4 Bell Telephone Company (SBC Illinois) and Norlight
5 Telecommunications, Incorporated, for approval of the
6 second amendment to the interconnection agreement
7 dated July 27th, 2004 pursuant to 47 U.S.C. Section
8 252.

9 Counsel for SBC, would you enter an
10 appearance, please.

11 MR. HUTTENHOWER: James Huttenhower,
12 H-u-t-t-e-n-h-o-w-e-r, 225 West Randolph Street,
13 Suite 25D, Chicago, Illinois 60606.

14 JUDGE RILEY: And for Staff?

15 MS. BROWN: Brandy D.B. Brown on behalf of
16 Staff of the Illinois Commerce Commission, 160 North
17 LaSalle, Suite C-800, Chicago, Illinois 60601.

18 JUDGE RILEY: Thank you. And have either of
19 the parties heard from Norlight in this matter?

20 MS. BROWN: Staff has not.

21 MR. HUTTENHOWER: SBC has not.

22 JUDGE RILEY: And let the record reflect that

1 on August 5, 2004 the Office of the Cheif Clerk of
2 the Commission sent a notice of the time and place of
3 this hearing and the hour to a Mr. Ralph Kaczor and
4 Robert Rogers at Norlight Telecommunications at an
5 address in Brookfield, Wisconsin.

6 The ALJ has not heard from either of
7 these parties either; therefore, we will proceed as
8 we are assembled.

9 And I turn to Staff and ask has a
10 verified statement been filed in this matter?

11 MS. BROWN: Yes, Staff has filed a verified
12 statement of Torston Clauston and it has been marked
13 as Staff Exhibit 1. Statements specifically that
14 there is nothing discriminatory and nothing against
15 the public interest and subject to the usual
16 post-approval requirements, namely amending the
17 tariff to include the amended agreement and filing
18 with the Cheif Clerk of the Commission, we move for
19 admission of Staff Exhibit No. 1, the verified
20 statementt of Torston Clauston as it is found on the
21 e-Docket previously found today, September 9th, 2004,
22 and bears Tracking No. 50803.

1 JUDGE RILEY: Any objection from Staff -- or
2 from -- excuse me, from SBC with regard to the motion
3 to admit?

4 MR. HUTTENHOWER: We have no objection.

5 JUDGE RILEY: Staff Exhibit 1 is admitted into
6 evidence.

7 (Whereupon, Staff Exhibit No. 1
8 was admitted into evidence.)

9 JUDGE RILEY: Do the parties have anything
10 further?

11 MS. BROWN: Nothing further from Staff.

12 MR. HUTTENHOWER: Nothing from SBC.

13 JUDGE RILEY: Now, I'll direct the reporter to
14 mark this matter heard and taken. Thank you.

15

HEAR AND TAKEN.